



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/573,734	03/28/2006	Laurent Tricaud	FR 030116	2254				
65913 NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131	7550 11/17/2010		<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">HENRY, MARIEGEORGES A</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		HENRY, MARIEGEORGES A	
EXAMINER								
HENRY, MARIEGEORGES A								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>2455</td><td></td></tr></table>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2455		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
2455								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>NOTIFICATION DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>11/17/2010</td><td>ELECTRONIC</td></tr></table>	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	11/17/2010	ELECTRONIC	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
11/17/2010	ELECTRONIC							

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/573,734

**Applicant(s)**

TRICAUD, LAURENT

**Examiner**

Marie Georges Henry

**Art Unit**

2455

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CD)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the request for continued examination filed on 4/21/2010.

Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 11, and 15 are amended. Claims 17-19 are new. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 1-19 directed to a method of playing a multimedia content transmitted by a third- party on a user device.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

*a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, ff the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.*

3. Claims 1-8 and 10-18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Joseph et al.** (hereinafter "Joseph") (**US 6, 993, 645 B2**) in view of **Hadi (US 7, 233, 999 B2)**.

1. (Currently amended) A user device comprising: a network interface configured for communicating via a network external to the user device, and a processor arrangement configured for executing, each of:

a boot module configured for booting the user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 10-14, a booting device is disclosed),

a receive module configured for transmitting, to a third-party device, a request for multimedia over the network, receiving (Joseph, column 4, lines 13-19, fig.2, a content player is disclosed receiving content from a persistent storage medium), and storing the received multimedia content in a content memory of the user device (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-61, a content player is displaying media content that was stored in an initializing memory), and

a content player module configured for playing multimedia content transmitted by said third-party device (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting), while the boot module continues to boot the user device (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting).

Although Joseph discloses the feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose explicitly the feature from the third-party device and while the boot module continues to boot the user device, multimedia content via said network.

Hadi discloses the feature where multimedia content is being downloading from a network third-party device with a computer is booting (Hadi, column 6, lines 31-41, during initial boot of the last mile computing system, a master operating system is determining various type of operating system to download).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Hadi last mile intermediary downloading feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with a booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

Regarding claim 2, Joseph and Hadi disclose a user device as claimed in claim 1 further comprising a memory for storing multimedia content (Joseph, column 3, lines 51-56, a flash ROM is storing content ), wherein:

a) said receive module is further configured for:

transmitting a first request asking whether said third-party device has multimedia content to download to said user device (Joseph, column4, lines 43-44, an interactive

electronic device allows a user to perform downloading of selective screen displays from a content repository),

receiving a response to said first request, sending a second request, depending at least on said response, said second request configured to contact a Common Gateway Interface (CGI) script hosted by the third-party device to ask for the download of multimedia content (Joseph, column4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests),

receiving the requested multimedia content (Joseph, column4, lines 43- 44, an interactive electronic device receives request from a user), and

storing the received content in said memory (Joseph, column 4, lines 60- 61, an initializing memory is storing video files), and

b) the content player module is further configured for playing other multimedia content stored in said memory prior to downloading the multimedia content (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-61, a content player is displaying media content that was stored in an initializing memory).

Regarding claim 3, Joseph and Hadi disclose a user device as claimed in claim 1 wherein:

a) said receive module is further configured for transmitting a request asking for the streaming of multimedia content, and receiving multimedia content streamed by said third-party device in response to said request (Joseph, column4, lines 43-44, an interactive electronic device receives request from a user), and

b) the content player is further configured for playing the streamed multimedia content as it is received (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting).

Regarding claim 4, Joseph and Hadi disclose a user device as claimed in claim 3 wherein the content player is further configured to stop playing in response to said booting finishing (Joseph, column 5, lines 19-20, the BIOS ends the boot sequence by halting the content player).

Regarding claim 5, Joseph discloses a method of playing a content on a user device that communicates via a network, said method comprising implementing, in parallel, each of the steps of:

booting said user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 10-14, a booting device is disclosed), and playing, while allowing the booting of the user device to continue, multimedia content received from said third-party device (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting).

accessing multimedia content stored by from a third-party device said by receiving (Joseph, column 6, lines 9-10, fig. 2, content is received from a content repositories into a content player).

Although Joseph discloses the feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose explicitly the feature while allowing the booting of the user device to continue, data from the third-party device that has been transmitted to the user device via said network.

Hadi discloses the feature while allowing the booting of the user device to continue, data from the third-party device that has been transmitted to the user device via said network (Hadi, column 6, lines 31-41, during initial boot of the last mile computing system, a master operating system is determining various type of operating system to download).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Hadi last mile intermediary downloading



feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with a booting method with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting method with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

Regarding claim 6, Joseph and Hadi disclose a method as claimed in claim 5 of playing a multimedia content on a user device which comprises a memory for storing multimedia content, wherein a) said receiving step includes protocol-implementing steps of:

transmitting a first request from said user device, said first request asking whether said third-party device has new multimedia content to download to said user device, transmitting a response to said user device, at least if said third-party device has new multimedia content to download (Joseph, column 4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests),

transmitting a second request from said user device depending at least on said response and on one or more predefined criterion including at least one of a network load criteria and an available memory criteria, said second request asking for the download of said new multimedia content, downloading said new multimedia content from said third-party device to said user device (Joseph, column4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a

content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests), and

storing the downloaded multimedia content in said memory (Joseph, column 3, lines 51-56, a flash ROM is storing content ), and b) said playing step includes playing multimedia content stored in said memory prior to said downloading (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting).

Regarding claim 7, Joseph and Hadi disclose a method as claimed in claim 5 of playing multimedia content on a user device, wherein:

a) said step of receiving includes protocol-implementation steps of: transmitting a request from said user device, said request asking for the streaming of multimedia content, and streaming multimedia content from said third- party device to said user device in response to said request (Joseph, column 4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests), and

b) said playing step includes playing the streamed multimedia content on said user device as it is received (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying

content during booting).

Regarding claim 8, Joseph and Hadi disclose a method of playing multimedia content as claimed in claim 5, wherein the received multimedia content is customized by said third-party (Joseph, column 6, lines 32-35, the retrieval of the data is done according to pre-defined parameters).

Regarding claim 10, Joseph discloses a third-party device for communicating via a network and for implementing a protocol for transmitting multimedia content to a user device via said network, comprising:

a receiver configured for receiving a first request sent by said user device during booting of the user device (Joseph, column 4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests), and

a transmitter for transmitting a response to said user device, at least if said third-party device has multimedia content to download to said user device, and for uploading multimedia content to said user device upon reception of said second request (Joseph, column 4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests).

Although Joseph discloses the feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose explicitly the feature said first request asking whether said third- party device has a multimedia content to download to said user device and for receiving a second request sent by said user device during booting of the user device, the second request asking for the download of a multimedia content.

Hadi discloses the feature where multimedia content is being downloading from a network third-party device with a computer is booting (Hadi, column 6, lines 31-41, during initial boot of the last mile computing system, a master operating system is determining various type of operating system to download).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Hadi last mile intermediary downloading feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with a booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

Regarding claim 11, Joseph discloses a system comprising:

at least a user device that while booting, initiates implementation of a communications protocol (Joseph, column 3, lines 10-14, a user device is initiated while booting device) and plays multimedia content (Joseph, column 4, lines 55-58, a content player is displaying content during booting), and,

while the user device is booting, transmits multimedia content to the user device, the third-party device using a network over which the communication and transmitting occurs (Joseph, column 4, lines 43-44, fig. 2, an interactive electronic device allows a user to perform selective screen displays, a content repository where content is fetched, and a network interface transmits requests).

Although Joseph discloses the feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose explicitly a third-party device that, while the user device is booting, communicates with the user device during booting using the communications protocol.

Hadi discloses the feature where multimedia content is being downloading from a network third-party device with a computer is booting (Hadi, column 6, lines 31-41, during initial boot of the last mile computing system, a master operating system is determining various type of operating system to download).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Hadi last mile intermediary downloading feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with a booting system with a last

mile intermediary downloading feature in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

Regarding claim 12, Joseph and Hadi disclose a computer readable medium storing program comprising instructions for implementing a method as claimed in claim 5, when executed by a microprocessor of a user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 10-14, a computer is performing sequences of booting instruction).

Regarding claim 13, Joseph and Hadi disclose the user device of claim 1, wherein the processor arrangement is further configured for booting by executing an initial set of operations in response to a user turning on power to the user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 22-24, a device is responding to a user queries posed during a boot sequences).

Regarding claim 14, Joseph and Hadi disclose the method of claim 5, wherein the step of booting further includes executing an initial set of operations in response to a user turning on power to the user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 22-24, a device is responding to a user queries posed during a boot sequences).

Regarding claim 15, Joseph and Hadi disclose the third-party device of claim 10, wherein the receiver is further configured to receive a first request while the user device is booting by executing an initial set of operations in response to a user turning on

power to the user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 22-24, a device is responding to a user queries posed during a boot sequences).

Regarding claim 16, Joseph and Hadi disclose the system of claim 11, wherein booting the user device includes executing an initial set of operations in response to a user turning on power to the user device (Joseph, column 3, lines 22-24, a device is responding to a user posed queries posed during a boot sequences).

Regarding claim 17, Joseph and Hadi disclose the user device of claim 1.

Although Joseph discloses the feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose explicitly the feature wherein the user device is a mobile phone and the request for multimedia content over the network includes a request to a Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) server.

Hadi discloses the feature wherein the user device is a mobile phone and the request for multimedia content over the network includes a request to a Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) server (Hadi, column 14, lines 29-32, wireless features are disclosed).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Hadi last mile intermediary downloading

feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with a booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting system with a last mile intermediary downloading feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

Regarding claim 18, Joseph and Hadi disclose the user device of claim 2, wherein the sending of a second request is conditional upon pre-defined criteria that includes one or more of network load and available memory size (Joseph, column 4, lines 7-10, memory is been check in the booting process).

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Joseph**, in view of **Hadi**, in further in view of in view of **Perlman** et al (hereinafter "Perlman ") (**US 7, 200, 859 B1**).

Regarding claim 9, Joseph discloses a method of playing multimedia content as claimed in claim 5.

Although Joseph and Hadi disclose a method of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose the method wherein the received multimedia content is compressed.



Perlman discloses the method wherein the received multimedia content is compressed (Perlman, column 4, lines 28-31, data is transfer before being transferred).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Perlman compressing feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with booting method in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting method with a compressing feature in order to be able to transmit larger multimedia data.

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Joseph**, in view of **Hadi**, in further in view of in view of **Worley** (hereinafter "Worley ") (**US 7, 509, 639 B2**).

Regarding claim 19, Joseph and Hadi disclose the user device of claim 3.

Although Joseph and Hadi disclose a feature of downloading a multimedia content, he does not disclose the feature wherein receiving multimedia content streamed by said third-party device includes using real-time-streaming protocol.

Worley discloses the feature wherein receiving multimedia content streamed by said third-party device includes using real-time-streaming protocol (Worley, column 10, lines 40-41, RTSP protocol is disclosed).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Worley RTSP feature with Joseph transmitting multimedia content with booting system in order to create a transmitting multimedia content with booting system with RTSP feature in order to compare streaming packets while downloading multimedia content.

### **Response to Argument**

6. Applicant's arguments filed on April 21, 2010 with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered and the rejections have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Conclusion**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Marie Georges Henry whose telephone number is (571) 270-3226. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30am - 4:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217- 9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marie Georges Henry/

Examiner, Art Unit 2455

/saleh najjar/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455